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STORYTELLING / NARRATIVE DISCOURSE

❖ Narrative discourse involves telling a story through a series of causally connected, logically sequenced events
❖ Difficulty with narrative discourse is common in people with traumatic brain injury (TBI) 1,2,3

1 Coelho, 2002; 2 Marini et al., 2017; 3 Stout et al., 2000
**IMPORTANCE OF NARRATIVE DISCOURSE IMPAIRMENTS IN TBI**

- Essential for social participation
- Correlates with community reintegration / psychosocial outcomes \(^4,5\)
- May be more strongly correlated with social integration than demographic variables \(^4\)

\(^4\) Galski et al., 1998; \(^5\) Elbourn, Kenney, Power, & Togher, 2019

---

**STORY GRAMMAR**

- Story grammar \(^6\) is a framework used in Western narratives to organize content in a predictable, linear event sequence.

\(^6\) Stein & Glenn, 1975
Previous TBI research has addressed:

- **Narrative length** \(^7,^8\)
- **Completeness of content** \(^9,^10\)
- **Local/global coherence** \(^9,^11,^12\)
- Relationships between these measures and deficits in executive functions (EF) and declarative memory \(^12,^13\)

\(^7\) Hartley & Jensen, 1991; \(^8\) Norman et al., 2022; \(^9\) Carlomango et al., 2011; \(^10\) Elbourn, Kenney, Power, Honan, et al., 2019; \(^11\) Marini et al., 2011; \(^12\) Marini et al., 2014; \(^13\) Mazaiko et al., 2011

---

Minimal TBI research addresses:

- Completeness of narratives (in terms of story grammar; SG)
- Elaboration of narratives
- Cognitive correlates of SG measures
- Relationships between deficits in narrative discourse, EF, & declarative memory across different timepoints in TBI recovery
RESEARCH QUESTIONS

❖ Do story grammar measures related to length, elaboration, & completeness at 6- & 12-months post-TBI correlate with EF & declarative memory at 6- & 12-months post-TBI?

PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Years of Education</th>
<th>GCS Score</th>
<th>Length of PTA (days)</th>
<th>Primary Language</th>
<th>Monolingual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sample (N=54)</td>
<td>44:10</td>
<td>34.981</td>
<td>13.722</td>
<td>6.741</td>
<td>53.463</td>
<td>49 English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-13.369)</td>
<td>(-2.993)</td>
<td>(-3.454)</td>
<td>(-39.887)</td>
<td>5 Other</td>
<td>11 Other (8 Bilingual, 3 Multilingual)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
METHODS

Step 1: Divide narratives into propositions (verb phrase/predicator or relational word + related arguments)

Step 2: Assign story grammar codes

Step 3: Assign episode number and type (complete vs. incomplete, simple vs. elaborated)

Episode Types:
- Simple Complete (SC)
- Simple Incomplete (SI)
- Elaborated Complete (EC)
- Elaborated Incomplete (EI)

6 Lê et al., 2011; 14 Gillam et al., 2017
### Example Episode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>IE</th>
<th>DC</th>
<th>Ep3: EC-MB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42 so she got close to twelve o'clock.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 it was time for her to leave.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 and she &amp; b basically ran away from the [I] the prince.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 and &lt;left her shoe&gt; [I] lost her shoe on the way back</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that the prince then found.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IE = Initiating Event
A = Attempt
DC = Direct Consequence

### Story Grammar Measures

- Total number of story grammar elements (length)
- Total number of episodes (length)
- Total number of elaborated complete episodes (episodic completeness/elaboration)
- Number of story grammar elements per episode (elaboration)
COGNITIVE MEASURES

**EF MEASURE:**

Functional Assessment of Verbal Reasoning and Executive Strategies (FAVRES)\(^{15}\)

**DECLARATIVE MEMORY MEASURES:**

Hopkins Verbal Learning Test - Revised (HVLT-R)\(^{16}\)
Brief Visuospatial Memory Test - Revised (BVMT-R)\(^{17}\)

\(^{15}\) MacDonald, 2005; \(^{16}\) Brandt & Benedict, 1997; \(^{17}\) Benedict, 1997

ANALYSES:

Spearman’s correlations were run between each narrative variable at 6- and 12-months:

- Number of story grammar elements
- Number of episodes
- Number of elaborated complete episodes
- Number of episodic elements per episode

And each Executive Functioning and Declarative Memory score at 6- and 12-months:

- FAVRES (Executive functioning): Scheduling Accuracy, Scheduling Rationale, Building Cases Accuracy, Building Cases Rationale, Total Accuracy, Total Rationale, Total Reasoning
- HVLT & BVMT (Declarative memory)
RESULTS: STORY GRAMMAR & EF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SG 6-months</th>
<th></th>
<th>SG 12-months</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of SG elements</td>
<td>Number of episodes</td>
<td>Number of EC episodes</td>
<td>Number of SG elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EF 6-months</strong></td>
<td>Building a Case Accuracy*</td>
<td>Total Reasoning Raw*</td>
<td>Total Reasoning Raw**</td>
<td>Scheduling Accuracy*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Reasoning Raw*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EF 12-months</strong></td>
<td>Building a Case Accuracy*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scheduling Accuracy*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p ≤ .01; ** p ≤ .001

WHAT CAUSAL REASONING LOOKS LIKE

**Example A**

one day that the king sent out a notice to every household noticing them to join the ball for his prince that for a potential wife . all the girls are very excited as well as Cinderella . &+a as soon as when she dressed up nicely and tried to go to the ball the /&+mo the older women along / along with her two 38daughters didn't want her to go . because she looked much better than they would . and thought that if she goes they would have no chance of charming the prince so they locked her up in the room and left for the ball .

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IE 1</th>
<th>Ep1: SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS/DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example B**

&-um she went to the ball and her two sisters . and her mother wouldn't let her go for some reason . and then the two sisters &-um attacked her . and she runs out .

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IE 2</th>
<th>Ep2: SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MS = Mental State**
**IE = Initiating Event**
**A = Attempt**
**DC = Direct Consequence**
## RESULTS: SG & DECLARATIVE MEMORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SG 6-months</th>
<th>SG 12-months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of SG elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of episodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of EC episodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of SG elements per episode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of episodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of EC episodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of SG elements per episode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Declarative memory 6-months
HGVT-R*  BVMT-R**  N/A  BVMT-R**  N/A

Declarative memory 12-months
HGVT-R**  BVMT-R**  N/A  BVMT-R*  BVMT-R**

*p ≤ .01; **p ≤ .001

### WHAT COMPLETENESS LOOKS LIKE

**Example C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>MS/IE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>and &amp;-um prince charming &amp;-uh realizes</td>
<td>MS/IE</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>there is the last lady of the house , which the ugly stepmother does not want him to try the slipper on her . &amp;-um he actually does try the slipper on Cinderella's foot . and it fits perfectly . therefore he realizes that Cinderella was the beautiful lady that he met at the ball .</td>
<td>MS/IE</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example D**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>NSG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>so then in another book the king hadta find the wearer of the slipper and then went round the town and tested if your [*] foot fit the slipper or not .</td>
<td>NSG</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **MS** = Mental State
- **IE** = Initiating Event
- **A** = Attempt
- **DC** = Direct Consequence
- **NSG** = Non-Story Grammar
WHAT ELABORATION LOOKS LIKE

Example C

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and &amp;-um prince charming &amp;-uh realizes</td>
<td>MS/IE</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>there is the last lady of the house ,</td>
<td>MS/IE</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>which the ugly stepmother does not want him to try the slipper on her .</td>
<td>MS/A</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp;-um he actually does try the slipper on Cinderella’s foot .</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and it fits perfectly .</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>therefore he realizes</td>
<td>MS/DC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>that Cinderella was the beautiful lady</td>
<td>MS/DC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>that he met at the ball .</td>
<td>MS/DC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example E

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And they (sisters) go out to this dance .</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp;-um anyway and then there ends up being this [/] this man</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp;-um he actually does try the slipper on Cinderella’s foot .</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And so he [/] she ends up going to the dance .</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS = Mental State
IE = Initiating Event
A = Attempt
DC = Direct Consequence

DISCUSSION

Associations were found between narrative length, completeness & elaboration within a story grammar framework and…

1) Executive functioning: planning, organization
   - Even more with problem-solving & reasoning
   - Correlations mostly within timepoint
2) Declarative memory: memory for people, objects, and events
   - Correlations across timepoints
LIMITATIONS

❖ Not all participants had data available at both timepoints
❖ Sample was not diverse in terms of race and ethnicity
❖ Sample included non-native English speakers

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Conducting regression analyses to determine which (if any) EF & declarative memory scores at 6-months predict narrative outcomes at 12-months
❖ Control for demographic variables

Analyzing mental state term use as one aspect of elaboration

Analyzing changes in story grammar measures across the first 2-years post-TBI (3, 6, 9, 12, 24-months) and comparing performance to controls

Developing methods for efficiently and effectively capturing these narrative impairments to harness their utility in clinical practice
CONCLUSIONS

Findings support the use of story grammar measures to capture the functional impact of persisting EF & declarative memory impairments post-TBI

Developing efficient and effective methods for characterizing narrative impairments may improve clinical assessment & treatment, with the potential for far-reaching impacts on communicative participation & quality of life in this population
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